

## Gatorville residents continue struggle

Gatorville refuses to go away. SF State's family housing complex, a 30-year-old collection of wooden Navy barracks, has survived another university deadline for its demolition. The aging structure was supposed to be evacuated Jan. 5, two months after the administration issued eviction notices to the families still living there.

But Gatorville's remaining residents have defied the latest attempt to oust them, and obtained a temporary restraining order against the university and state colleges.

Gatorville's attorneys say they hope Superior Court Judge Ira Brown will issue an injunction next week, which could keep the residents in Gatorville until litigation settles the issue.

In a formal complaint filed Jan. 2, the Gatorville Association claimed the university's plans to evict them violate residents' rights to equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment.

Gatorville attorney Frederick Furth said, "The question here is not of (SF) State's duty. They didn't have to provide housing, but once they do, there cannot be an inequity."

Furth, who once lived in Gatorville, said the university has created a "single students only" pocket.

Gatorville residents have protested that the administration's repair cost estimates are much higher than actual needs. They said the housing funds have been mismanaged for years, and that possible repair funding has been directed elsewhere.

"They (the administration) don't want to do anything about it," said Furth.

Norman Heap, vice president of administrative affairs, disagrees.

He cited recent efforts to place the remaining families in public housing in both San Francisco and San Mateo counties.

A deputy director of San Mateo's public housing authority (PHA) offered to accommodate Gatorville's remaining families, and the administration pursued the offer, convinced the issue was finally resolved.

But shortly before the fall semester ended, the offer was

overruled by the San Mateo PHA Board of Commissioners.

Heap couldn't provide an official reason for the reversal, but said he heard the board had refused to allow San Franciscans and other out-of-county residents into its program because there was no room.

SACRAMENTO—The Board of Trustees struck a blow for abstention Wednesday when it killed a proposal that would have allowed the sale of beer on campus.

The trustee's action came a week before a special task force formed to study the proposal was scheduled to hold its first meeting.

The beer-on-campus plan was

presented by trustee William O. Weissich at the Board's last meeting in Los Angeles when it was decided that a task force should be formed.

But today's action by the trustee's Gifts and Public Affairs Committee, "obviously killed the task force," according to Glenn Dumke, chancellor of the 19-campus State college system.

The predominant feeling a-

mong supporters of a student's right to imbibe on campus was that the proposal had been dealt with so hastily because of the budget message from Governor Edmund G. Brown planned for the afternoon session of the trustee's meeting.

The proposal was not to have come up for consideration until the trustee's next meeting in March, but was moved up when

trustee Frank Adams introduced a motion to kill the plan.

The trustee's reasons for the abrupt denial held that the sale of beer would not be in the best interests of the campus population or the public at large.

Also cited in the denial was the encouragement such a plan would give to alcoholism, the "burden of policing" bars on campus, and the fact that those students under 21 who could not use the section of student unions where beer was sold would be discriminated against.

The arguments in favor of selling beer were that students "could learn to drink like ladies and gentlemen," that bars would encourage a greater use of campus facilities, that the money realized in revenues could help finance student union buildings, and that nearby bars would be a convenience for students.

"But these possible benefits are outweighed by the very serious harmful effects of such a policy," Adams said in his motion.

# PHOENIX

Volume 16, Number 1

San Francisco State University

Thursday, the Thirtieth day of January, MCMLXXV

## Under construction...

The large plywood fence greeting SF State students at 19th and Holloway Avenues surrounds the construction of a new \$5.6 million Administration Building.

The new building will be four stories high, with an added story housing President Romberg's and Vice President Garrity's offices and other administrative centers.

Administrative offices have been moved to other buildings on campus, including the Library, the Physical Science Building and Mary Ward Hall.

The building has been in the planning stages for eight years, and the construction process is expected to take two years.

The construction of the new Administration Building is a joint venture of the Paxton-Grosword and S.J. Amoroso construction companies.

The Amoroso Company built the original building over 25 years ago. Other buildings constructed by the company



were the Creative Arts Building, the Bookstore, the Men's Gym, the residence garage, and the old cafeteria, which was torn down for the Student Union construction.

### ONE TIME ONLY

Due to the frantic nature of the first day of classes, CAR, construction sites and computers notwithstanding, Phoenix is publishing this first unofficial edition in the form of a wallpaper. In future weeks the paper will appear in its standard format either in a rack or at the bottom of your favorite stairwell.

## Students oppose bus fund freeze

President Paul Romberg was ordered Tuesday to release the \$380 in Associated Student funds he froze that had been earmarked for transporting San Francisco State students to the Mid-January March for Jobs in Sacramento.

The demand came on a split vote of the AS Winter Executive Committee which met to consider a resolution drafted by AS Legislative Representative Marc Duskin, one of the main organizers of SF State's participation in March for Jobs.

The same resolution states that if Romberg does not release the funds within ten days, the AS will file a lawsuit against him and the State College Board of Trustees in Federal District court.

Romberg froze the funds during the week prior to the scheduled march because he said he felt student funds shouldn't be used for such an event.

His decision was subsequently approved by the legal division of State College Chancellor Glenn Dumke's office.

Should Romberg decide to lift his freeze, the money will be used to reimburse the March for Jobs coalition, which reportedly paid the way of some 25 SF State students to Sacramento.

But Romberg "has absolutely no choice" in the matter because of the opinion from the Chancellor's office, according to Dean of Student Affairs, Larry Kroeker.

Romberg himself was out of town and unavailable for comment.

What Romberg will most likely do said Kroeker, is submit the opinion of the AS corporate at-

torney Dennis Clifford to the Chancellor's office for consideration.

Clifford's opinion, a rough draft of which Duskin received before writing his resolution, calls the Chancellor's office opinion "not a wise one, and not... legally correct."

The AS's case hinges on the belief that the March for Jobs was an educational activity, for which it is allowed to allocate student funds. If it is considered not to be an educational or cultural activity, student governments would be prohibited, under Title Five of the State law relating to such matters, to allocate student funds.

Originally, the \$380 was approved by both Kroeker and the University's comptroller, Alfred Leidy.

But a phone call from Romberg, five days before the scheduled march, informed Kroeker that such use of the funds was illegal.

Romberg based his decision, according to Kroeker, on a discussion with John Greenlee, the president of Los Angeles State University, who had similarly frozen funds allocated by that student government.

When the AS was informed of his decision, a press conference was hastily called and Clifford began preparing his legal opinion.

Clifford, who is a member of a private law firm in San Francisco, said Tuesday that if a suit is eventually brought against the Administration, it would be "successful," based on an infringement of First Amendment rights.

## Track coach Hopkins still in limbo

The status of SF State track coach Gayle Hopkins, who may lose his job because he does not have a doctorate remains unresolved.

Hopkins, last season's Far Western Conference track coach of the year and SF State's only black head coach, is seeking the administration's approval to be placed on the coaching classification.

If successful, he would be designated as either a coach, head coach or coaching specialist. The coaching classification is an un-

tenured position.

Originally, the decision whether to place Hopkins on the coaching track was to have been made in late December. However, no final decision has been made yet.

The participants involved in the Hopkins' issue have declined to talk about what stage the matter has reached.

Richard Westkaemper, dean of the Division of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, refused to talk about the level the Hopkins' issue had reached with-

in the administration's decision-making hierarchy.

"It is inappropriate for us to give information in relation to personnel involvement," said Westkaemper. He said he had "no idea" when a decision about Hopkins would be made.

William Harkness, chairman of the Men's Physical Education Department, could not foresee when a Hopkins decision would arrive.

"Setting a date on something like this is almost impossible," said Harkness. "Because if the college is going to review a deci-

sion that's already been made, that's of great consequence, it's going to take time.

Harkness didn't want to talk about what stage the Hopkins' issue had reached. "All I can say is that it's in progress. Nobody is sitting on it. There is no attempt at all to try to sit on it or delay any action," he said.

Hopkins didn't want to elaborate on his situation. "I think right now," Hopkins said, "I'm not a liberty to talk about what I think is going on or what is actually going on."

# The Second Front Bookstore

4079 19th Ave.

The Union St. Squatters

A Dixieland Band  
11-3, Thurs., Fri., Mon. - Wed.

NEW & USED  
TEXTBOOKS

Buy texts at Second Front and **SAVE!!!**

584-1692

Rush Hours

8 - 9:30 Weekdays  
12 - 5 Sat. & Sun.

Miss the crowds

Shop Saturday

& Sunday

THIS WEEK ONLY

